

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,658	11/16/2001	Hiroshi Miyajima	15082	2457	
75	90 10/27/2004		EXAM	INER	
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			ALLEN, DENISE S		
Garden City, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•,			<u></u>		
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/990,658	MIYAJIMA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise S Allen	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MP	EP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR) 			
2. $igtizem$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•	
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplif	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s):		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ req place the application in condition for allow 6.□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed	ance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			-
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			in
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 13-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	royed or h) disapproyed by	the Evaminer	
		the Example.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme O. Other:	Aydre	y Chang	
		ry Examiner ology Center 2800	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/990,658

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed amendments introduce new issues that include at least the new claimed combinations including the proposed claim limitations directed to the location of the bonding portions, the magnetic circuit, and the position of the mirror structure relative to the bonding portions and the base.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed combination from the cited prior art. .